

Applicants : Robert R. Kamrath et al
Serial No. : 10/672,703
Page No. : 12

REMARKS

Applicants thank Examiner Nelson for his careful attention to this application.

In addition to other elements, claim 1 as amended states that the headrest is for a seat having seat back and a seat pan, and the seatback is moveable relative to the seat pan between an upright position and a folded position. The actuator pivots the bin between an extended position and a stowed position such that the bun is in the extended position when the seatback is in the upright position and the bun is in the stowed position when the seatback is in the folded position.

This is not taught or suggested by the Spound patent (U.S. 2,976,915). The entire seat shown in the Spound patent tilts from a first upright position to a second position. Thus, the seatback does not move relative to the seat pan. Thus, the Spound seatback does not move between an upright position and a folded position as defined by the claim. The bun shown in Spound is not in the stowed position when the seatback is in the folded position since the seatback does not have a folded position as defined in the claim.

To the extent that the operation of the chair shown in Spound is analogous to the claimed invention, the Spound bun seat works opposite to that of the claimed invention. When in the reclining position, the bun is extended. Col. 1, line 70 to Col. 2, line 5. When in the upright position, the bun is stowed.

Thus, claim 1 as amended is allowable over the cited art.

Claim 42 has been amended in accordance with the suggestion of the Examiner and is therefore allowable.

Applicants : Robert R. Kamrath et al
Serial No. : 10/672,703
Page No. : 13

In the previous office action, the Examiner indicated that Claim 6 was allowable. Claim 6 depended from claim 5 which depended from claim 2. By way of this amendment, claim 6 depends from claim 2, but claim 1 has added an additional element. New claim 96 is essentially previous claim 6 written as an independent claim.


CONCLUSION

In view of the above amendment and these remarks, it is respectfully submitted that the application is in condition for allowance. A notice to that effect is earnestly and respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

ROBERT R. KAMRATH ET AL

By: Warner Norcross & Judd LLP



J. Ray Wood
Registration No. 36,062
111 Lyon Street, N.W., Suite 900
Grand Rapids, MI 49503-2487
616-752-2167